#### **SUMMARY INFORMATION**

Respondent's Name	ESB Generation & Trading	
Type of Stakeholder	Generator	
Contact name (for any queries)	Catherine McHale	
Contact Email Address	catherine.mchale@esb.ie	
Contact Telephone Number	0868480966	
Confidential Response	[N]	

#### **CAPACITY MARKET CODE MODIFICATIONS CONSULTATION COMMENTS:**

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
CMC_03_21 v3  - Modification to the provisions for Substantial Financial Completion	ESB Generation and Trading (ESB GT) understands that the proposed modification facilitates CMC Objectives (b) (efficiency), (d) (promote competition) and (f) (non-discriminatory).  ESB GT agrees with the principal of this modification in promoting increased competition and efficiency in the CRM and maximising units	Termination Charges & Performance Security Posting As already seen in SEM-21-059 the SEMC is considering various methods to reduce delivery uncertainty of new awarded capacity. ESB GT is concerned that through the implementation of this mod the RA's may be sending mixed messages to the market. While they are trying to promote new	Drafting on the inclusion of demand site details in reporting.  J.4.2.6 Each Implementation Progress Report shall include:  (a) details of any Milestones achieved;  (b) details of progress against outstanding Milestones;

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
	ability to participate in the CRM process.  Modelling Risk & Impacts ESB GT is of the view that the proposal for this modification needs to balance the alternative opportunities given to DSUs/AGUs with the requirement to ensure awarded capacity is delivered. However, ESB GT also agrees with the TSO concerns that the implementation of this mod may increase the risk associated with modelling ahead of a T-1 Capacity Auction. The TSO stated that the information would feed into reports on generation adequacy and locational constrains. If the volume of capacity yet to be delivered became increasingly difficult to predict, then the knock-on impact could have significant cost impacts for the consumer and security of supply concerns.	capacity to successfully deliver at the same time certain units are afforded an alternative SFC provision where the certainty of delivery is not required until 4 months prior to delivery.  ESB GT agrees that the increased Performance Security Posting & Termination charges on the DSU/AGU units do go some way to promoting delivery but the contractual obligation is not provided for on the SFC date and this will increase delivery risk for the TSO.	(c) identified or potential delays in achieving outstanding Milestones; and  (d) actions being taken to mitigate any delays.  (e) Where a unit has achieved Substantial Financial Completion under J.2.1.3.(a)(ii), then report must include details of identified individual Demand Sites

ID	Proposed Modification and its	Impacts Not Identified in the	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to
<u>-</u>	Consistency with the Code Objectives	Modification Proposal Form	Deliver the Modification
	Implementation Progress Reports		
	ESB GT agrees with the proposal by		
	the RAs in their minded to decision		
	that as part of the extended reporting		
	requirements DSUs/AGUs must		
	include identification of individual		
	demand sites where know as a		
	precautionary measure to ensure no		
	duplication occurs. This measure may		
	in turn help create more certainty to		
	the TSOs when calculating the volume		
	of capacity yet to be delivered.		
	ESB GT believes that further		
	information and assurance is required		
	on the modelling impacts to the TSO		
	that this modification may have		
	before it is approved.		
CMC_12_21	ESB GT agrees that the proposed modification facilitates CMC Objectives (b) (efficiency) and (f)		In Section G3: Commissioned Capacity the number of the following points is incorrect and should be
- Modification to the methodology	(non-discriminatory).		corrected to the below:
for calculating the De-Rated Grid	(		G.3.1.2B G.3.2.1B The value of Grid
Code Commissioned Capacity	ESB GT is in agreement with this		Code Commissioned
	modification and the amendments		Maximum On Time in
	removing legacy text in the		respect of a Demand Side Unit at a given time is the

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
	calculation of the Commissioned Capacity (qCCOMMISSΩγ) in G.3.1.8 and the removal of Gross De-rated Capacity from the calculation of De- Rated Grid Code Commissioned Capacity G.3.1.4.  The amendments remove inconsistencies remaining after modification CMC_06_19 and align the code with market design and de- rating principals.		Maximum Down Time based on the Final Compliance Certificate, Operational Certification or Final Operational Notification for that Demand Side Unit under the applicable Grid Code.  G.3.1.2C G.3.2.1C For a Generator Unit (other a Demand Side Unit or Aggregated Generator Unit), the Grid Code Commissioned Maximum On Time may differ from the Initial Maximum On Time included in the Application for Qualification only where this does not result in a breach of the relevant
			Connection Agreement(s) (as modified from time to time).
			G.3.1.2D G.3.2.1D For a Demand Side Unit or Aggregated Generator Unit, the Grid Code Commissioned Maximum On Time may differ from the Initial Maximum On Time

ID	Proposed Modification and its Consistency with the Code Objectives	Impacts Not Identified in the Modification Proposal Form	Detailed CMC Drafting Proposed to Deliver the Modification
			included in the Application for Qualification.

NB please add extra rows as needed.